



Leicester
City Council

WARDS AFFECTED
Castle

5.1

COUNCIL

24th January 2019

PETITIONS FOR DEBATE BY FULL COUNCIL – REQUEST TO RETAIN SAFE PARKING/DROP OFF SPACES FOR THE USERS OF THE JAIN CENTRE ON YORK ROAD

REPORT OF THE MONITORING OFFICER

1. INTRODUCTION

A paper petition and an electronic petition have been received simultaneously which ask the City Council to retain safe parking/drop off spaces for users of the Jain Centre on York Road.

The petition would normally have been considered as part of the Traffic Regulation Order process which is intended to be reported to the Planning and Development Control Committee in February, to consider any outstanding objections to the advertised Order. However, the City Mayor has responded to a request for the petition to be debated at Council and he has, on this occasion, used his discretion to enable this to happen.

2. RECOMMENDATION

Council is recommended to consider the petition and make any recommendations in accordance with paragraph 3 below.

3. REPORT

The petitions are in the following terms:-

“Retain safe parking/drop off spaces for users of the Jain Centre on York Road.”

The lead petitioner has been invited to speak on their petition for five minutes to be followed by a Councillor debate for a maximum of 15 minutes.

Following the debate, the Council can decide how to respond to the petitions and may decide to:

- Recommend to the decision maker to either take or not take the action the petition requests.
- Recommend to the decision maker a different course of action as a result of the debate.
- Commission further investigation into the matter, for example by a relevant committee.

Following the Council meeting the petition organisers will receive written confirmation of this decision.

4. FINANCIAL, LEGAL AND OTHER IMPLICATIONS

4.1. Financial Implications

There are no immediate financial implications arising from the report.

Colin Sharpe, Head of Finance, 374081

4.2 Legal Implications

There are no direct legal implications arising from this report. There is a procedure for dealing with objections to Traffic Regulation Orders, and this TRO has already met the conditions for being referred to the Planning & Development Control Committee for comments in the near future. It is pertinent to note that the decision regarding whether the TRO is made permanent rests with the Director of Planning, Development & Transportation.

Kamal Adatia, City Barrister and Head of Standards, 371401

5. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

OTHER IMPLICATIONS	YES/NO	Paragraph References Within the Report
Equal Opportunities	No	
Policy	No	
Climate Change and Carbon Reduction	No	
Crime and Disorder	No	
Human Rights Act	No	
Elderly/People on Low Income	No	
Corporate Parenting	No	
Health Inequalities Impact	No	

6. BACKGROUND PAPERS – LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972

None

7. CONSULTATIONS

None.

8. REPORT AUTHOR

Graham Carey
Senior Democratic Support Officer.